

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,597	01/10/2002	Hironobu Yamakawa	500.41074X00	5097	
20457	0457 7590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			DIAMOND, ALAN D		
SUITE 1800		CLE I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			1753		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/041,597	YAMAKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Alan Diamond	1753	

	Alan Diamond	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b)</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply monor of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cust be filed within one	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR $41.37(e)$ ), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10 and 19.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-18 and 20-26</u> .	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		adaa af Ammaalill ma	4 h. a
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			<b>-</b>
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but			nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	_
13.		aldo	<u> </u>
		Alan Diamond Primary Examiner	



Art Unit: 1753

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation in claims 1 and 2 that "the planar plate" has the recited channel, at least one optical component, first (flat and smooth) incoming window, second (flat and smooth) incoming window, excitation transmission path, first (flat and smooth) outgoing window, second (flat and smooth) outgoing window and fluorescent transmission path "integrally-molded therein" would raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. Likewise, the recitation "are normally accessible from mutually differing sides of the planar plate" in claims 21, 22, 24, and 25 would require further consideration and search.